

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	09/971,771		10/09/2001	Philip Bush	2334-194	2486	
	23117	7590	02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	NIXON &				FORTUNA, JOSE A		
	1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ARLINGTO		22201-4714		1731		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V- 3"		Application No.	Applicant(s) BUSH ET AL.				
		09/971,771	BUSH ET AL.		()		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Y		José A Fortuna	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. specified for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunicatior	n.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	ovember 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8,10-15 and 17-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>2-8,10-15 and 17-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
° 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				ν		
9)⊠.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		xaminer.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '	FR 1.121(d	I).		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			-	,		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
	application from the International Bureau			J			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Assachus - ·	(4)						
Attachment 1)	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((DTO 412)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO	-152)			
Potent and Te	Totop wan bate	0) [Other					

Application/Control Number: 09/971,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendment filed on November 6, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The formation of a board using the fibers as it is now claimed constitute new matter, since there is/are not basis in the specification for the use of any fibers other than the ones disclosed and exemplified. One of ordinary skill in the art reading the specification would not have any guidance as to what to do with non-recycled fibers or if the invention would work at the addition levels as claimed. The summary of the invention clearly states the use of the recycled fibers, see 112 rejections below.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 2-8, 10-15, 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The formation of a board using the fibers as it is now claimed constitutes new matter see below.

Art Unit: 1731

4. Claims 2-8, 10-15 and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the use of recycled cellulosic and glass fibers, does not reasonably provide enablement for Virgin fibers as it is now claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification clearly teaches that the product is made a using recycled cellulosic and recycled glass fiber, that's the invention. There is not basis in the specification of the use of any fibers other than the ones disclosed and exemplified. One of ordinary skill in the art reading the specification would not have any guidance as to what to do with non-recycled fibers or if the invention would work at the addition levels as claimed. The summary of the invention clearly states the use of the recycled fibers, see below:

"A non-woven web such as a facer comprises recycled cellulose fiber; recycled glass fiber, and, a sizing agent which provides the mat with decreased liquid penetrability over time. An example suitable sizing agent is alkenyl succinic anhydride (ASA) which has a dry basis add-on rate of from about 0.15% to about 0.4%, and preferably a dry basis add-on rate of from about 0.2% to about 0.3%. The sizing agent provides the mat with decreased liquid penetrability four weeks after mat production. In one aspect of the invention, the mats/facers can be employed as a facer for a rigid cellular foam board."

The examiner contends that using the claimed sizing level(s) would not work with non-recycled fibers, since the recycled fibers contains sizing and binders that would make up the sizing levels previously determined to work by the prior art, see for example Bondoc et al. cited in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-8, 10-15 and 17-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731

JAF